1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS			
2	EASTERN DIVISION			
3	THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et rel. ) KENNETH CONNER,	No. 11 C 4458		
4	Plaintiff,			
5	)			
6	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \			
7	PETHINAIDU VELUCHAMY, et al.,	February 9, 2015 Chicago, Illinois		
8	) 9:00 a.m. Defendants. ) Status Hearing			
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS			
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE SHARON JOHNSON COLEMAN			
11	APPEARANCES:			
12		HALEY & BILHEIMER		
13	Suite A			
14	Nevada City, Cali BY: MR. ALLAN S. MR. JOHN G.			
15	TIK. JOHN G.	DILITILIN		
16	For Defendant Tucek: TRESSLER SODERSTR PRIESS, LLP	OM MALONEY &		
17	233 North Wacker	233 North Wacker Drive 22nd Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606-6399 BY: MR. JOHN P. MANIATIS		
18	Chicago, Illinois			
19	BI. TIK. SOIN I.	IMIMIAIIS		
20				
21	TRACEY DANA McCULLOUGH, CSR, RPR Official Court Reporter 219 South Dearborn Street Room 1426 Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 435-5570			
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:		
2	For Defendants Barth.	KATTEN & TEMPLE, LLP 542 South Dearborn Street Suite 610 Chicago, Illinois 60605 BY: MS. NANCY ANNE TEMPLE	
3	Benik, Murphy Pachoa, and Regas:		
4	and nogao.		
5		DI. HO. WHO! ANNE TEH LE	
6	For the Adams Defendants:	FRANKLIN LAW GROUP 181 Waukegan Road Suite 205	
7	Defendants.		
8		Northfield, Illinois 60093 BY: MR. CRAIG M. CAPILLA	
9	For Defendant TRIBLER ORPETT & MEYER. P.(		
10	McCarthy:	TRIBLER ORPETT & MEYER, P.C. 225 West Washington Street	
11		Suite 1300 Chicago, Illinois 60606 BY: MR. SHOMSHON MOSKOWITZ	
12	BY: MR. SHUMSHUN MUSKUWIIZ		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

THE CLERK: 11 C 4458, Conner versus Veluchamy. 1 2 THE COURT: Start here in court. 3 MR. MANIATIS: Good morning, Your Honor. 4 Maniatis on behalf of defendant Tucek. 5 Nancy Temple on behalf of defendants MS. TEMPLE: 6 Benik, Barth, Murphy, Pachoa, Mahajan, and Regas. 7 MR. CAPILLA: Good morning, Your Honor. Craig 8 Capilla on behalf of the Adams defendants. 9 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Shomshon 10 Moskowitz on behalf of McCarthy. 11 THE COURT: All right. By phone, please. 12 MR. HALEY: By phone Allan Haley and John Bilheimer. 13 THE COURT: For the? 14 MR. HALEY: For the plaintiff. 15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. This is 16 the first date we've had for status after Judge Cole's order 17 and recommendation about extending the case. I'm not going to 18 let you reargue it here in front of me. Suffice it to say, 19 that the defense is not in favor of his ruling, is that 20 correct, Counsel? 21 MS. TEMPLE: That's correct, Judge. 22 THE COURT: The Court has reviewed the order and the 23 recommendation. The Court knows the history of the case. The 24 Court also knows that -- knows Judge Cole's experience here, and the Court has read the well thought out order. And the 25

Court agrees with him, reluctantly agrees that the case -- the dates should be extended as he has set forth. And for the plaintiffs, you know, the Court -- admonishing someone who's not present is not very productive for the Court. But as far as this Court is concerned, it won't matter if it's Judge Cole or any of the other judges, this Court's not extending this schedule. Does the plaintiff understand?

MR. HALEY: Yes, we do understand that.

THE COURT: All right. And --

MS. TEMPLE: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TEMPLE: May I, may I suggest something and add to the record.

> THE COURT: Sure.

MS. TEMPLE: The defendants had -- the plaintiff had set forth three grounds as a basis for extending, as you may be aware. One -- the first one is the FDIC documents. And since Judge Cole did issue his order on February 3rd last week, the plaintiffs provided to us the third set of documents produced to them by the FDIC. They have now received documents in December and two productions -- one production in January and this third one from last week. Each time in response to their subpoena issued and in response to search terms, electronic search terms and electronic database that the plaintiffs themselves reviewed or provided to the FDIC and approved.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the other development since we saw Judge Cole and he issued his order is you may recall that we had reported to the Court that in December plaintiff's counsel had issued deposition notices for all defendants to occur the week of February 2nd, last week. And we accommodated that request, and the defendants had set aside that time so the plaintiff's counsel could come to Chicago one week to take those depositions. And then on January 27th after Judge Cole issued his order, the plaintiffs unilaterally canceled those depositions and declined to proceed.

If they're allowed additional time in discovery, we'd like the discovery to be limited to whatever has been noticed prior to the February 10 cutoff. We don't think it's appropriate for them to continue on a fishing expedition. had suggested on the phone on January 27th, Mr. Haley suggested that he wants to take a bunch of depositions of a number of different witnesses. That's the first we've heard about that. They've had ample time to notice up or mention particular witnesses that they might want to depose before the February 10 cutoff.

I also understand that the FDIC will be filing a motion. Their counsel told me last week that they intend to file a motion before Magistrate Judge Cole saying they've already produced enough documents, and they aren't interested in producing anything more, or something to that effect.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: And they have filed that motion or they will be?

MS. TEMPLE: No, they have not filed it. represented to me last week that they had just been retained, a law firm in Chicago has been retained to represent the FDIC in this matter. It's the law firm Ungaretti & Harris that just It's now called Nixon Peabody. And they have been merged. representing the FDIC in the directors and officers case before Judge Kendall for a few years. But the partner, the lead partner there told me last week that he'd be filing the motion It hasn't been filed as of now. But that was what last week. he said the FDIC's position is.

So we'd like to limit the additional extension to complete the discovery that's been noticed to date for those reasons.

THE COURT: Anyone else here in court want to add in or join in? All right.

> MR. MOSKOWITZ: No. Miss Temple has said it all.

THE COURT: All right. Counsel by phone.

MR. HALEY: Yes, Your Honor. Counsel has not quite told you the complete story. When we put -- we put the depositions over. We didn't cancel them. We agreed on a date at the end of April for all depositions, and I heard no opposition from any attorneys on the phone at the time to taking the depositions at that time. That obviously makes

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

sense given the fact that the documents at the time we moved the dates of the depositions had not all been produced. now the FDIC has said it has produced all the documents that it has in response to our request.

MS. TEMPLE: Judge, we did object on that telephone I told Mr. Haley that he was canceling the depositions at his own risk, and that our clients were available for deposition. They already had thousands of pages from the FDIC.

THE COURT: All right. And you know what, and forgive me for interrupting. But the reason I'm going to is, once again I wasn't there. I wasn't part of this. That's why it has to go in front of Judge Cole, who I'm sure if you all went down there today, if this is a glitch that needs -- is time sensitive, he will try to work you in. We'll make a call. But it's, it's -- you know, for me to have the plaintiffs by phone, have you in court, you all are talking about something that did not happen in front of me or within my earshot, places me in a difficult position. And I do not want to undermine my colleague who has done so much work on this and came up with this scheduling order.

So the Court notes your request, but it really should go in front of Judge Cole. Would you like me to try to see if he can hear you today on this? If you wait just a second, we'll make a phone call.

MS. TEMPLE: I have a meeting. I have a deposition

1 actually. I need to leave, but --2 THE COURT: All right. Well, then I would suggest 3 that you motion it up in front of him as an emergency 4 situation so you can get on as soon as possible. 5 MS. TEMPLE: We will. 6 THE COURT: Because I am adamant about the, the end. This will come to an end. All right. So --7 8 MS. TEMPLE: So we should --9 THE COURT: Do you understand my phone? 10 MR. HALEY: Yes, we do, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. 11 12 MS. TEMPLE: So, Judge, we should notice before Judge Cole as quickly as possible to limit the additional 13 14 discovery --15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 MS. TEMPLE: -- to just what's been noticed? 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MS. TEMPLE: Okay. 19 THE COURT: I would do that on an emergency. And you 20 can tell him you're doing it -- you can put in your motion it's at the direction of the Court, of this Court that they had this 21 22 matter -- deal with it in front of you. It doesn't sound like 23 an unreasonable request, but the Court also doesn't have all 24 the facts in front me. All right. 25 MS. TEMPLE: So we were going to file the objection

```
1
     to the recommendation, which is due today.
 2
               THE COURT: You can file it.
 3
               MS. TEMPLE: All right.
 4
               THE COURT:
                          To keep your record straight I guess.
              MS. TEMPLE: Okay. Okay.
 5
                          I've already told you I reviewed it.
 6
               THE COURT:
 7
               MS. TEMPLE: I understand.
 8
               THE COURT: And I thought he went through a thorough
9
               But if you want to put it in writing --
     process.
10
               MS. TEMPLE:
                           Okay.
11
               THE COURT:
                          -- you can.
12
               MS. TEMPLE: All right. Thanks, Judge.
13
               THE COURT: All right. All right. Anything else
14
     from plaintiff.?
15
               MR. HALEY: No, Your Honor.
16
               THE COURT: All right. Let me do a -- I notice you
17
     have many status dates with Judge Cole. Your next one is
18
     February 26th, March 26th. I'd like to try to sync up with
19
     some of those dates to keep you all from coming twice.
                                                             The
20
     next one I'll have is -- even if it's off of my normal
21
     schedule. April 27th you have one. April 27th. Mine will be
22
     at 9:00 o'clock. And that way you'll be able to see him at 10.
23
     All right.
24
               MS. TEMPLE: Thanks, Judge.
25
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
```

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. HALEY: Thank you, Your Honor. CERTIFICATE I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true, correct and complete transcript of the proceedings had at the hearing of the aforementioned cause on the day and date hereof. /s/TRACEY D. McCULLOUGH February 16, 2015 Official Court Reporter Date United States District Court Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division